G R S. IX-5]
Previous references.



1921
(mc)

File No 161-21
Serial Nos 1-5

Later references.
21161-21 83 5a, 6 27

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos ✓

Part B for July 22

SUBJECT

Amalgamation of the Belf Improvement Committee with the Belf municipal Council

5	7.6	The president, Belf municipal Council The Comptroller and the Chief Engineer No d 818-20/d 27 7 22 - Sanctioning the establishment required for the Belf Improvement

July 1916

WD 1922—GTB—14,000—30-1-22

3 R. S 11] 161-22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Genl)

NOTES

File No 161-2

FILE HEADING

Amalgamation of the City Imps Committee, Bangalore, with the City Muni Council

From

The President, Bangalore City Muni Council

No. C 20/21-22 Dated 21 2 22 Received 23.2.22

Diary No 5494	Enclos 5	Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Submits proposals for carrying on the work of the City Improvement Committee in the future, as directed in para 4 of G.O. No 3243-7/Rl 171-229 31 12 21.

NOTES AND ORDERS

22 Letter No 2529 23 2 22 from the Chairman, City Improvement Committee Bangalore States that the proposals of the Muni Council with an Architectural draft seem to be suitable & adequate for carrying City Improvement Works under the best arrangements.

NOTES—First Sheet

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ref File No. 151 of 1924.

Serial No

The following statement is submitted for the further working of the City Improvements Scheme.

Designation	Scale of pay as proposed by the Municipality	Actual Charge	Scale as proposed by the Provincial Government	Actual Charge.
	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
In the Engineering Office			**In the Engineering Office**	
1 Engineer	300+100	400	300+100	400
1 Sub-Divisional Officer	100+30	130	75-5-100+30	130
2 Sub-overseers	35-5/3-77+15	164	3 Sub-overseers on 35-5/3-75 +10	255
1 — do —	do.	55		
Clerical Staff			1 Draftsman on 50	50
1 Accountant	50-5-75	75	1 Accountant on 60	60
1 Typist clerk	45	45	1 Steno-Typist Shorthand on 35	35
1 Store and Record Clerk	35	35	3 Peons on 12 each	36
Menial establishment			1 Watchman on 10	10
1 Peon	14	14	**In the Municipality**	
1 Do	13	13	1 Head clerk on 50-5-75	75
1 Do	13	13	1 Clerk on 50	50
1 Watchman	12	12	1 Typist on 35	35
	Total Rs	656	2 Peons on 12 each	24
			Total	1150
Sanitary units including house rent	Total	100	Sanitary staff including house rent	150
			Total	1250

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Extra grant for drainage in Municipal area and Cantonment area including Sanitary Establishment — 3 lacs.

G R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Fd File No. 157 of 1924.

Serial No.

2 The President also thinks that the Municipal P. W. D. staffs are hardly enough to form the executive of a fully equipped Engineering and that the general working of municipal and the city improvements department is to be attended to by a Separate Branch to be worked up immediately after the Constitution of the Board, and that the duties of the Municipal Commissioner should be as provisionally agreed by the Municipal Council.

3 The President further thinks that the Administration proposed by him is absolutely necessary for the success of the one proposed by the Municipal Council.

4 The Chairman of the City Improvement Committee is of opinion that the proposals of the Municipal Council must be suitable and adequate for carrying out the City Improvement scheme under the new arrangement and that the City Improvement Branch should be separately maintained without being mixed up with other Municipal Branches.

with an addition of one Public Health Officer

Note—Contn. sheet.

S. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 161 of 1921.

Serial No.

In the enclosure the President in Council has ordered that the recommendation of the existing establishment of the City Improvement Committee till the end of April next is for two months under the terms of the first head of the settlement of the question of the reduced establishment required for the future carrying out of the City Improvement work, sanctioned above time.

I am directed to add:-

1) Whether the existing establishment is approved by the Municipal Council and if not the amount to be sanctioned by the Chief Engg?

2) Whether on the removal of the existing establishment of the City Improvement Committee may be continued for two months under Govt. 1st March 1922.

Yours obedt.

(Sd) [illegible] (Asst. Secy.)

1/3/22

1/3

HOME—Comin. sheet.

Serial No.

1 The municipal Council has made an exorbitant proposal ~~Mr Macdonald has~~ Mr has proposed to have separately executive & clerical staff for Immunity work & sub works as the fusion of both establishments is an impossibility In the same breath the Council want to amalgamate the staffs so far as the Engineer is concerned one sufficient for both departments which are to be held ~~in~~ separate ~~but~~ however appears to be an anomaly The necessity for a Sub or officer is absurd apparent The permanent pay of the Municipal Engr is 220 with allowances of Rs 150 - 100 = 250 his pay unnecessarily & giving him a Sub or officer on Rs 100 + 30, & have the more advantageous to have 2 Engineers on a reduced pay, say a 200 Rs each or less & do away with the Sub or officer as Engineer on Rs 200 or even 150 should be able to manage the projects under consideration with the help of 3 Sub overseers.

The Municipal Engr may get his present pay 150 ~~regd~~ consolidated

The President to strongly advised to see Engr being placed in charge of all works and works His Contention has some force.

Note—Contn sheet.

G. R. B. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat | orl File No. 161 of 19 21

Serial No

The necessity for an architectural man is abound apparent Supply being unavailable, it is proposed by the Chairman to continue his services the ordinary draughtsman employed by the Council appears to be enough. The Residents proposal, to reduce the percentage by establishment 15 2 to 6 P C/ of the Sub-ca is taken away it will be still less than 1st page 60 of the proceedings, but interests should be sufficiently safeguarded by the Chief Engr's opinion in the matter appears to be absolutely necessary as there are divergent opinions on the question of appointing a separate Engineer for the Hydraulics

As the question may take time for final settlement, the Municipality may be permitted to return as nearly as the establishment as is now employed pending final orders of this Govt.

Dewan's Office
Received on MAR 1 1922
Reg. No. 1607

Dewan. Please record the 1/3/22
Govt's decision
for lack of
Secretary

Note—Contn. sheet.

(7)

Vet. R. St. XII 2)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. (6) of 192(1)

Serial No

2 PK may in the meantime Confirm both of the Staff as Collectively necessary

3 The Hon'ble the Chief Secretary to Govt to Circulate

4 Issue urgent D.O to Principal as per above orders & then send Muffle to secy D.O

10/3

5 Draft please

10/3/22

6 Draft D.O letter

7 Issue & remember

11/3/22

8 D.O. letter 5/64 & 11 3 22 to the Dept, Bangalore city Council

State that, Reasons since the registration of the establishment in question, such of the staff as were Collectively negotiated may be maintained.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

5773

G. R. S. III 2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Ml File No. 161 of 1921

Serial No.

1 The file is transmitted for being referred to the Chief Engr

Yr
14-3-22

61 of 21

Let
14/3

1 Forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary, PWD for favour of opinion

By order,
Subbarayappa,
for Chief Secy to Govt

14/3/22

IMPERIAL COUNCIL OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH
14 MAR 1922
LEGAL & LF GOVTS BRANCH

Ml to 132 issued
16/3/1922

NOTE—Contn sheet

37243 6508 7

Public works Secretariat | File No 21 of 1921

Serial No

No 132 issued 15/3/1922

H & REV 22 MAR 1922

Returned with compliments to the Revenue Secretary to Government-

2. At the outset I may state that I am in complete agreement with the President, City Municipal Council when he states that there should be two independent agencies for the Municipal and Improvement works in order that either may proce efficiently The staff for the Improvement works may be reduced or disbanded altogether when the works are all completed, which it is expected will be in 18 months or at the most 2 years-

3. According to the figures given by the president, City Municipal Council, the annual grant for the City Improvement works, amounts to Rs (60,000 + 1,75,900) 2,35,900 Having regard to the amount to be spent and the importance of the works, an Engineer officer of the grade of Assistant Engineer on Rs 250-25-400 with a conveyance allowance of Rs 50 may be placed in direct charge of the Improvement works He may be given for assistance one Overseer and three Subeverseers-

4. As the works are all concentrated in one place, the establishment charges on the works outlay may go up to 10 per cent. According to this, the amount that could be allotted for establishment per year will amount to Rs 23,590 or Rs 2,000 nearly per month The charges on

establishme

NOTE— Contn sheet

Public works Secretariat | File No 161 of 1921

Serial No.

establishment as now proposed will be-

		Rs
1 Assistant Engineer	Rs 250-25-400 +50 C A	398 1
1 Overseer	Rs 100+30	130
3 (2 Suboverseers / 1 Suboverseer)	Rs 65-10/3-75 / Rs 45-5/3-60) +15 C A	237½
1 Draftsman (Architectural and General)		100
1 Head Clerk		40
1 Record and Store-keeper		35
1 Clerk or (Typist)		25
1 Accountant		60
3 peons at Rs 10 each		30
Contingencies and house rent		100
		1,155 5/8
Temporary establishment (such as Maistries, &c)		50
		1,205 5/8

which is well within the limit above prescribed. As such, there should be no reduction in the above establishment if work is to be done expeditiously and efficiently-

2. The ordinary Municipal establishment should be kept quite distinct from the above

Bangalore,
20th March 1 22

For Chief Engineer of Myso

NOTE— Contn sheet

G R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Rl File No. 161 of 1921

Serial No.

The Chief Engr? in his N.O. 1078 has proposed for an Engineering Establishment costing Rs 1,225/- a month inclusive of Rs 100/- for contingencies and Assistant, while the President has proposed an Establishment of — Rs 946/- on Engineering establishment Rs 1,346/- on the establishment of his office and Rs 100 for contingencies and Assistant on in all Rs 1,250/- a month.

The Chief Engr? agrees with the President in retaining that the ordinary Municipal Engineering establishment should be quite distinct from that of the city Improvement Works

Submitted for orders with reference to paras 11 Supra

23/3 (Raw Says)

The Chief Engr says that there is no Complete agreement with the President that there should be two independent agencies for the Municipal + Improvement works. The establishment recommended by him in para 11 para 1225-0-0 is

Recommend

HOSE—Comm. sheet.

5578

R. S. III 2)

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. of 1921

Serial No.

Mr. Clerk is about 25 R. less than
[illegible] by the President - Clerk
pay & Typist is Rs. [illegible]
[illegible] Mr. Clerk Myers
may be sanctioned providing a
Clerk & Typist

23/3

FICE
MAR 24 1922
505

Allowed

Notice recommended
should be referred back to
the M C for further
Consideration

17 3

Draft is submitted for approval.

28-3

32 Dasne

3 To The President, Municipal Council, Kolar
No L 5665/d 30 3 22
Requests to place the matter on the Agenda
required for the Clerk's Myers work before
the Municipal Council and Submit their views

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT
1 APR 1922
LOCAL SELF GOVT BRANCH

Note—Contn. sheet.

6759 (13

R. S. III 2.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 161 of 1921

Serial No	
4	Letter no 2488 29 4 22 from the President, Bangalore city Munl requesting for orders on copy of the resolution of the Munl on the subject of Engineering establishment
	33. 10 Munl Commrs are of the views that no case has been made out to reconsider the resolution already passed in the matter, but 6 are for accepting the Chief's proposals in toto
Q	
Q & B	34 The Munl Council are for one Engineer with sufficient qualification and experience in c of both Munl and Improvement Works, while the Chief Engr is a retainable Engr for each set works, ~~Drafts~~ & in question
	Submitted for orders
vide 10 of this note H	next to Item 30 Supra.
	3/R 5 5 0/ref 5755/Revisory) Secy - 5/57
	35 Please submit with a statement showing the present strength of the Engg Commrs prior to its abolition
	Dy 6/5/22 Secy

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 181 of 1924.

Serial No.

Flag X

The file was kept by pending order on reference enquiry extension submitted in proceedings

Flag

3) The statement is sent

3) A comparative statement as appended showing the several proposals & the present establishment. The main points of contention are.—

Flag B

(1) The Municipal Council want only one Engr on Rs 300 + 100 for the control of both Municipal & Irrig. works Cochin Rs 75 Calicut & Palghat respectively. The Puttanna Chetty thinks the arrangement with the Municipal President & the Chief Engr do not think such an arrangement satisfactory

(2) The outlay is heavy Improvement works are similar the annual municipal works which are mostly road repairs & petty Construction. Laying out of drains, Excavation of tanks (involving Capital Expenditure) Levelling & Laying out of roads & high class work (about the Corporation) and also Construction of costly buildings in the Market-site, the market frontage and the Cloth bazaar.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

15

G R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 16. of 19 2;

Serial No

require special attention Govt have a substantial interest as they bear 2/3rd of the Contribution works, and even in respect of the so called self supporting works, Govt have shown substantial Concession by foregoing the fines under the new Rules for the Conversion of agl lands to building purposes and allowing the Municipality to get all the profit. Govt have power under Sec 177(2)(i) of the Bombay Municipal Act, to require the Municipal Council to appoint Experts for these works, if they so decide. This is the main point for order.

The linked file is also for order in this Connection

43 (2) The Consequence of an unauthorised Encroachment —

Flag B & S

4 The Municipal Council do not want that for the Encroachment the President can be prosecuted in the Police Court. They suggest that Contravention should be dealt with by the Chief Engr

P.W. & L

Flag D of 1922

5 There is no legal power to compel the Municipal Council to Contribute to the Fund, but the Municipal Council may perhaps agree if Govt definitely say it is necessary

Note—Contin. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 16 i of 1941

Serial No

(3) The President has suggested a draughtsman for the Improvements Division but the Council has not. A draughtsman appears to be a necessity but one of the Sub overseers may also be employed as such. So no interference by ref- appears called for.

(4) The President has asked for 3 clerks for his office to deal with the correspondence relating to improvement works.

The Municipal Council has not recommended any. There is no reason why the Improvements office and the President's office should not be one & the same for "Improvements works". The President will inspect and control the establishment & and record sanctions on files coming up to him with the Engineers note. It appears unnecessary to Constitute separate offices for each and to encourage inter-office correspondence with all the accidents of delay, peri copying, despatching

So in any case, there also is a question whether the Chairman President should convince the Municipal Council, before he proposals can be sanctioned by Govt. may interfere only in

Note—Contn. sheet.

17

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

H. File No. 161 of 1924

Serial No.

respect of the Engineer & the circumstances warrant such interference in view of the large & important works on hand

Such also other aspects, the Board Consents proposals be also sanctioned

In giving orders, the special attention of the Residents will be drawn to the need for keeping separate & in touch the Irrig works records, as urged by Govt Pattamma chetty

Revenue

Hon 17/7

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Rect. on JUL 18 1924
Dy No. 4 5 b.

write | linked file

Note—Contp. sheet.

Ml 161-21

G R S. III-8a]

DEWAN'S MINUTE

General and Revenue Secretariat. Municipal File No. 161 1921

Date of submission	Date of Return	Date of issue of orders in the Secretariat	Remarks
7th July 1922	19th July 1922		

The file has been considerably delayed and such delays should be avoided in future

Orders in this case should be communicated to the Municipal Council as follows -

"Government have carefully considered the proposals of the Municipal Council for the entertainment of certain Public Works establishments to carry on the work of the City Improvement as directed in paragraph 4 of Government Order No L 3813-7/Ml.171-20-23 dated 31st December 1921 and direct that there is no necessity to have two separate and independent agencies for the Municipal and Improvement Works They are therefore pleased to approve of the proposal of the Municipal Council to have one Engineer on Rs 300 plus Rs 100 allowance for both Municipal and Improvement work, subject to the condition that he will be under the technical and professional supervision of a Public Works Department officer of the grade of a Superintending Engineer The engineering officer will, for purposes of the Public Works Code, exercise the functions of an Executive Engineer and the usual Public Works procedure in regard to the execution of all works relating to City Improvement will be followed so far as this officer is concerned The place of the Architectural Draftsman should be abolished and the scale of clerical and menial establishments as recommended by the Municipal Council is sanctioned For the President's Office one additional clerk ought to be sufficient to deal expeditiously with all matters arising out of the Improvement Works

"The Municipal Council is requested to consider the question of debiting the new total cost of this establishment as now sanctioned Municipal Revenues proper and the City Improvement Fund in proportion the value of work executed under those two separate heads

Ext 161-A 6759 19

G R. S. III-2a]

DEWAN'S MINUTE

General and Revenue Secretariat.		File No.	192
Date of submission	Date of Return	Date of issue of orders in the Secretariat	Remarks

- 2 -

(7) Orders have been passed on the linked file placed below

19 JUL 1922

(8) Draft please

19/7/22

(9) A draft order is submitted at page regarding the need to draw the improvement scheme referred to in the letter from the Revenue Secretary to Government.

(10) An application has been received from the Executive Engineer requesting the Chief Engr: to depute the Retired Supdg: Surveyor-Draftsman working under the Executive Engineer for the work connected with the said improvements.

21/7/22

(11) Please put up a clean copy as revised

Sd/ Sampson

© R. S. III-21

6259

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Ft. File No. 161 of 1924

Serial No.

5

To the Asst Local Engineer-
Comptroller & Examiner
Chief Engrs
No L 870-20 dt 27 x
Approves of the proposal of the
Local to have one Engineer on
Rs 300/- plus 100/- for both the
municipal and Dept work, and sanctions
the Establ of Asst attached to this
Office

Note.—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat	File No.	of 19
Serial No		

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Establishment prior to abolition

Designation	Pay	Conveyance allowance	Amount High price allowance
Chairman's office			
ead clerk	50		10
ypist	40		10
ecord Keeper	30		10
uffedar	12		6
ons	20		10
Executive Engineer's office			
xecutive Engineer	300	100	
ub Divisional Officer	100	30	10
ub Overseers	120	30	20
Do	45	15	10
Do	40	15	10
Do	70	30	20
lead clerk	50		10
eputy Clerk	30		10
ub Divisional clerk	25		10
utsaddi	20		10
torekeeper	30	10	10
ypist	25		10
Record keeper	25		10
Architectural Draftsman	100		10
Assistant Draftsman	25		10
Accountant	70		10
Cashier	40		10
Peons	16		10
Do	42		20
Watchman	7		5
Sweeper	4		
Total	1,194	340	335

Name of post	Sal
EXECUTIVE STAFF	
1. Engineer	300
2. Sub Divisional Officer	100
3 Sub Overseer	35-4
4. Do	
5 Do	
CLERICAL STAFF	
1 Accountant	50-5
2.Typist clerk	4
3 Store & Record keeper	3
Manial Establishment	
1 Peon	1
2. Do	1
3 Do	1
4 Watchman	1

17/7

Final Council's proposals

Remarks
100 He will be in charge of both Municipal and Improvement works out of Rs 400, 300 will be borne from Municipal funds and 100 from the City Improvement funds
50 The City Improvement works including acquisitions to be distributed suitably
Plus Do
Do
In addition to the account work he will do correspondence work

President's proposals

Designation	Scale of pay
For the President's office	
1 Head clerk	50-5-75
1 clerk	50
1 Typist	35
2 Peons	on 12 each
Stationery and contingencies including rent	100
For the Engineer's office	
Engineer	300 100
1 Overseer	75-5-100
3 Sub Overseers	35-5/3-75
1 Draftsman	40
1 Accountant	60
1 Store Keeper and Record Keeper	35
3 Peons	on 12 each
1 Watchmen	on 10

DC C20
21-22

22

Office of the President,
Municipal Council,
Bangalore City,
Dated 21st February 1922

Mc 161-21-1

Dear Sir,

With reference to para 4 of Government order No L 3813-1 Mi 171-20-23, dated 31st December 1921, directing inter alia that definite proposals for the carrying on the work of the City Improvement in the future may be submitted by the Chairman in consultation with the City Municipal Council and its President, I write to state that the City Municipal Council before whom the matter was placed for their consideration, are of opinion (vide copies of resolution appended) that the superior establishments of the Municipality and of the City Improvement Committee should be fused under the charge of one Engineer and that the subordinate and clerical establishments of the Committee should be reduced with a view to decreasing total establishment and charges of the Committee The strength of the establishment as proposed by the Council will be as noted in the margin It will be seen that by the proposed reductions, the Council do not seem to have paid sufficient attention to efficiency while being careful of economy The result of adopting the suggestions contained in the resolutions of the Council would be that both the ordinary and special works would suffer, and that to a material extent As large sums of money have to be spent on the special works, it seems highly desirable to keep these separate from the ordinary routine work of the Municipality This would enable the completing of the special works expeditiously and winding up the establishment connected with it without delay or inconvenience In view of this and of the importance of pushing through the special works to a rapid completion, I propose the establishment

4 Executive hands (1 Overseer & 3 Sub Overseers)
3 Clerical hands with 4 menials
costing in all Rs. 7 900/- per year

mentioned in the appended statement (A) In drawing it up I have been guided as much by ideas of economy as of efficiency. In the long run, it will be found that in matters of this kind where large works involving technical supervision and energetic pushing through are essentially concerned, the works pay themselves out if they are finished expeditiously and made paying propositions To give some idea of the ordinary works incumbent on the Municipality and those yet remaining to be completed as special works taken over from the Improvement Committee, I have drawn up two short statements for ready reference and comparison of cost. It will be seen from these tables that the Municipal P W D works are heavy enough to tax the energies of a fully equipped Engineer and that the special works deserve to be attended to as a separate branch to be wound up without delay on completion of the works The evil results that would follow their fusion would be that neither work would progress, while much money would be lost on establishments which would lack adequate supervision and special guiding It will be seen from the Council resolutions themselves that the proposed fusion is an impossibility and an illusion, in as much as they concede (a) practically separate executive establishments to the Municipal works and the works to be taken over from the Committee, (b) separate offices and (c) separate clerical and menial staffs This shows that the fusion of the two works is not practicable I think that the unification of administration of both the branches of works which will have been attained by the transfer of the Committee's special works to the Council, is all that could be desired from the present change, and that to expect more from it at once is bound to result in unfortunate consequences as much in the interests of the ordinary works of the Municipality as in those of the special works to be taken over by it from the Committee It is absolutely necessary, in my opinion, to have

adequate establishments for each to cope with the works entrusted to them

2 A consideration of the present establishment of the Municipality will show that it is absolutely impossible to tack on any more work to the existing ordinary Municipal establishment as the P W D works alone come to Rs 2 lakhs and the establishment maintained to carry them out is only 9 5% which is only half of what is allowed under the P W D code and 14% less than what it has cost in 1919-20 in the general P W D

3 A special establishment is necessary to carry out the special work for a special period In the Visvesvarapuram Extension scheme, which is a self supporting one, there is availble Rs 2,29 648/- This Extension could with an adequate establishment be completed in about 18 months In addition to this there is the market work including the Fink which will involve an expenditure of nearly Rs 2 lakhs This is a paying work and as such the sooner it is finished the better it will be for the Municipality Any amount not spent expeditiously on this item of work would be locked up fetching us either no interest or very little interest Moreover, the dragging on of this work would mean the total loss of income that would otherwise be derivable from it The cost of the two works which have to be executed by the special establishment aggregates to about Rs 5 lakhs and if this has to be completed within a period, at the outside of two years spending Rs 2 50 000/- a year the question of what establishment would be necessary for completing the works within the period mentioned has to be considered If the codal provision adverted to above is adopted Rs 36 000/- per annum would be permissible In place of this it is proposed however to have an establishment costing about Rs 15 000 per year including the salary of an Engineer on Rs 300 plus 100 If, as is proposed by the Municipal Council these works have to be done under the supervision of the Municipal

Engineer there is every likelihood [redacted] both or either of the works would suffer To have petty officials to supervise works of this character without a properly qualified Engineer to direct and control them would be to have an army of privates and sargeants without a general to lead them Such parsimony would not be economy, as in the end it would be found out that the works had eaten up the grant while they had not been brought to a state of completion on the desired lines What establishment is actually required to carry on the special works is a matter to be decided with the aid of expert guidance, and is not one for mere laymen to pass an opinion upon In this view of the matter I suggest that the opinion of the Chief Engineer to the Government may be obtained as to the adequacy of the proposed establishments

4 I would suggest for consideration another point in this connection The combining of special works with the ordinary routine works of the Municipality would result in the whole becoming one mass of routine and if this continued for sometime there is danger of what has been so far accomplished being lost The special works should therefore be continued as such under a distinct and special establishment for a temporary period, which should be the absolute minimum required for it, so that when the work is finished the temporary establishment maintained may be disbanded forthwith

5 The present establishment of the Committee costs about Rs 2,000/- a month In its place an establishment to cost only about Rs 1 250/- per mensum or about Rs 15 000/- a year, thus effecting a savings of Rs 9,000/- per annum and reducing the establishment charges from 15 2% worked out in 1920-21 to 6 is proposed If a smaller establishment than what is proposed for carrying out works of the value of about Rs 2½ lakhs a year is insisted on then it would be absolutely impossible for the

Executive of the Municipality to carry on the works They would not, therefore, in justice to themselves, be held responsible, if things went wrong, in consequence of the lack of adequate establishment In this view, I suggest that the two works ordinary Municipal and special works to be taken over from the Committee be kept distinct, and separate adequate establishments obtained for them The special works would be closed immediately they were completed and the establishment relating to them would up This in my opinion would be best both in the interests of sound economy and efficient administration

Yours Sincerely

President
City Municipal Council

Enclosures 6

To,

C Venkata Rao Esq B A
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharajah of Mysore Revenue Department,
B a n g a l o r e.

List of Enclosures

1. Municipal Council's resolution
2. Statement of special works of the City Improvement Committee showing the work executed and that remains to be done
3. Statement showing the establishment relating to City Improvement works as proposed by the President, City Municipal Council as approved by the Council
4. Comparative statement of Budget grant and expenditure on Municipal P W D works for three years
5. Statement showing the establishment of the Municipal P W D

No.20 4

Proceedings of a Special General Meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Monday the 13th February 1922 at 6 A.M. in the Council Chamber.

PRESENT.

1 Ananda Rao Mirasi, Esq. B.A., L.L.B. (President).
2 Mr. Abdur Rahman, Esq., B.A. (Vice-President).

COUNCILLORS.

1 Mr. V. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq., B.A. B.L.
2 B. Chinnaswami Chetty, Esq.
3 M. N. Hanumanthappa, Esq.
4 V. Krishna Iyengar, Esq.
5 A.L. Basappa, Esq.
6 P. V. Ramaswami Chetty, Esq. BA
7 R. Gopalaswami Iyer, Esq.
8 S. Venkatarama Iyer, Esq. B.A.
9 C. Ayyavadanu Rao, Esq. B.A. B.L.
10 T. Krishnappa, Esq. B.A.
11 P. Subbarama Chetty, Esq.
12 Rao Sahib H. Chennayya, Esq.
13 J. T. Isaac, Esq., B.A. I.E.S.
14 Narasinga Rao, Esq.

OFFICIALS.

1 L.P. Carudachar, Esq.
2 Ayyavalu Saliayya, Esq.
3 Siddaveerappa, Esq.
4 M. Adeppa, Esq.
5 T. Subbayya, Esq., B.A.
6 Venkatachala Iyengar, Esq. B.A. B.L.
7 L. Abbas Khan, Esq.
8 V. Chandrasekharaiah, Esq., B.A. L.
9 V. Kaniakavalu Moodaliar, Esq.
10 Rev. A. Brookbank.
11 P. Murugesam Pillay, Esq.
12 V. Venkataranga Iyengar, Esq.

Vacant Two.

Subject - Proposals for the establishment required for carrying on the City Improvement works from 1-3-1922 as called for in Government Order No. I.3013-7/Fl.171-20-23 dated 31st December 1921.

Resolution - Mr. V. Venkatarama Iyer proposed as follows:-

"That there be one Engineer with sufficient qualification and experience in charge of both Municipal and Improvement works and that he be allowed such temporary subordinate staff in addition if necessary, to the existing Municipal ~~Engineering~~ Public Works staff to carry on the Improvement works as well as the Council may

may decide upon

Mr.Hanumanthappa seconded the proposition

This was carried,6 voting for and 4 against it

Mr.J.R Isaac proposed that Mr T. Ramachendra Rao be the Engineer referred to in the above resolution on his present salary i e Rs 300/- plus Rs 100/- conveyance allowance

This was seconded by Mr R Gopalaswami Iyer and was carried unanimously

Adjourned to 8 A on Saturday the 18th instant

(Sd) Ananda Rao J Sirsi,
President

"C O P Y"

President

NO.21

Proceedings of the Special General Meeting of the City Municipal Council,held in the Council Chamber on Saturday the 18th February 1922.

P R E S E N T.

Ananda Rao.S.Sirsi,Esq.,B.A.L.L.B , (President)

B Abdur Rahman,Esq B.A , (Vice-President)

Councillors

C V.Narasimha Iyengar,Esq B A L,L , V Chayavadana Rao,Esq ,B.A B L ,

B Chinnaswami Chetty,Esq ,

Mo. Hanumanthappa,Esq ,

Ayakala Mallayya,Esq ,

S A Basappa,Esq ,

G Siddaveerappa,Esq.,

M.Subbayya,Esq ,B A ,

K.Gopalaswami Iyer,Esq ,

10 H.Krishnappa,Esq ,B.A ,

11 P Subbarama Chetty,Esq ,

12 Rao Sahib H Chennayya,Esq ,

13 J.R.Isaac,Esq ,B.A M B L.,

14 Rev A Brockbank,

15 L Abbas Khan,Esq ,

16 G Venkataranga Iyengar,Esq ,

A B S E N T

B.V Krishna Iyengar,Esq ,

B.N.G Garudachar,Esq ,

D.Adeppa,Esq ,

P.V.RamaswamiChetty,Esq B A ,

M.VenkatachalaIyengar Esq ,B.A B L

6.S.Venkataramma Iyer,Esq,B.A.,

7 H V Chendrasekhariah,Esq ,B.A L.L

8 V.Manickavelu Mudaliar,Esq ,

9. P Kurugesam Pillay,Esq.,

J.E Narasinga Rao,Esq ,

Vacant Two

Proposals for the establishment required for carrying on the City Improvement works from 1-3-22 as called for in Government order No.L 3c13-7/M1.171-20-23 dated 31st December 1921

Mr M.Abbas Khan proposed that one Sub Divisional Officer on Rs 100/ plus 30 and 3 sub-overseers on Rs 219/- i,e not exceeding Rs 350/- be appointed for the Executive staff This was seconded by Rao Sahib H,Chennayya.

Mr.C.V Narasimha Iyengar proposed an amendment that one Sub-divisional Officer and two sub-overseers will suffice for the executive subordinate staff This was seconded by Mr.M.Subbayya.

This amendment was lost 8 voting for and 9 against it

Mr.H.Krishnappa proposed another amendment that there be one Sub-Divisional Officer of the grade of Sub-Assistant Engineer on Rs.120 plus 30 with two overseers on Rs.100 plus 10 each, one for building works and another for roads and drains

Mr. Gopalaswami Iyer seconded.

This was lost as 4 voted for and 12 against it

The original proposition of Mr Abbas Khan was then put to vote and was carried 16 voting for and none against it

Mr H.Subbayya proposed that there shall be three clerks one of whom shall be a typist, one store-keeper and record-keeper and another accountant on Rs 35/-,45/-, and 75/- respectively i,e, not exceeding Rs.155/- a month.

This was seconded by Mr J.Venkatarangs Iyengar

Mr. Hayavadana Rao proposed an amendment as follows -

That excluding the Architectural Draftsman which is to be considered separately, the temporary staff proposed for the special works do consist of an accountant and four clerks on a total salary not exceeding Rs.265/- per month

This was seconded by Mr Gopalaswami Iyer

This was lost as 3 voted for and 13 against it

Mr.Hayavadana Rao then moved the following amendment -

That excluding the post of Architectural draftsman which is to be considered separately, the temporary staff proposed for the special works do consist of one accountant on Rs 75/- one typist on Rs.45/- one store-keeper and record-keeper on Rs35/- and another clerk on Rs.30/- on a total salary not exceeding Rs.185/- per month

This was seconded by Mr.[illegible] Subbaraman Chetty.

This was lost as 3 voted for and 11 against it.

Then the proposition of Mr.Subbayya was put to the vote and was carried 15 voting for and none against it

Mr.H.Krishnappa proposed that there be an architectural draftsman on Rs 100/- per month.

Mr.Hayavadana Rao seconded this

Mr.J.R.Isaac moved an amendment that the above appoint-

appointment be for 6 months This amendment was seconded by Mr M.Subbayya

This amendment was lost 2 voting for and 6 against it

Mr.C.V Narasimha Iyengar proposed an amendment that the work of architectural draftsman be entrusted to one engaged on such work on remuneration not exceeding Rs 300/-

This was seconded by Mr Hanumanthappa

This was carried 8 voting for and 5 against it

Mr Abbas Khan proposed that 3 peons and a watchman be appointed at a cost of Rs 52/- per month and this was seconded by Mr S.Venkataranga Iyengar

This was carried 10 voting for and none against it.

Mr Venkataranga Iyengar proposed that a sum up to Rs 100/- be provided for contingencies This was seconded by Mr Hayavadana Rao and was carried 10 voting for and none against it

(Sd) Ananda Rao , Sirsi,
President

" C O P Y "

President

Statement of special works of the City Improvement Committee the work executed and that remains to be done

s of works	Estimated cost	Expenditure up to date	Balance
A -- Contribution works (Government 2/3- Municipality 1/3			
tructıon of Interior lls of Market	1,56,000	1 10,119	45,881
t frontage	1,16,000	2 463	1,13,537
ing the kink in the n drain	59 775	25,461	34,314
et circus	50,000 Including compensation	18 585	31,415
New Bamboo Bazaar	32,040	28 337	3,703
rovements to Palace road	15 000 8 000 23 000	14,748	8,252
B -- Self Supporting works Entirely paid out of Municipal funds			
esvarapuram Extension	5 25 000	2 95 452	2,29,648
ering East Road Basavangudi	6 584	5 755	829
tinuing Diagonal Road	12 060 cons 8 330 com	8 752 3,358	8,280
akota Road shop site scheme	17 950	11 747	6,203
oth Bazaar facing dopanth's street	1 06 840		1,06,840
		Total	5 61,902
		Deduct about for work to be done by other agency	61 902
		Balance	5 00,000

President
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore

Statement showing the establishment relating to the City
rovement works as proposed by the President, City Municipal
il, Bangalore Rayt Resident's proposal

Designation	Scale of pay	Actual charge
ineer's Office	Rs	Rs
er	300 100	400 X
seer	75 - 5 - 100 30	130 X
Overseers	35 -5/3- 75 10	225 30
ftsman	40	40
ountant	60	60
re and Record Keeper	35	35
ons tehman	on 12) each on 10)	46
		766
the President's Office		
lerk	50 - 5 - 75	75
k	50	50
ist	35	35
	on 12 each	24
ionery and contingencies uding rent,	100	100
	Total Rs	1 250 per month

Rs 1 250 X 12 i e
Rs 15 000/- per annum

amount of expenditure is
mated at Rs 2 50,000/- per year
centage of establishment charges 6%

President
City Municipal Council
Bangalore

Statement showing the temporary Establishment required for

B carrying on the City Improvement works as approved 35

B by the Municipal Council, proposed

Name of post	Salary Scale of pay	Actual charge	Name of the person	Remarks
EXECUTIVE STAFF				
Engineer	300 plus 100	100	Mr T Ramachandra Rao	He will be in charge of both Municipal & Improvement works out of Rs 400/-300/ will be borne from Municipal funds & 10 from the City Improvement funds
Sub-Division Officer	100 plus 30	130	"D Krishna Rao	
Sub-Overseer	35-5/3-77 plus 15	82 (67-15)	Mr H Venkoba Rao	The City Improvement works including acquisitions to be distributed suitably
Do Do	Do	82 (67-15)	" G Puttanna Gowda	
Do Do	Do	(55 (40-15)	" George Murray	
CLERICAL STAFF				
Accountant	50-5-75	75	" Sampangi Ramayya	In addition to the account work he will do correspondance work
Typist Clerk	45	45	" T Ramaswami Chetty	
Store & Record Keeper	35	35		
Menial Establishment				
Peon	14	14		
Do	13	13		
Do	13	13		
Watchman	12	12		
	Total	656		
Contingencies				
Building house rent		100		
	Total	756 per month		
One sum grant for drawing plans & other Architectural nature in lieu of a full-time Architectural Draftsman		300		

Approved by the Municipal Council at their meetings held on 13th & 18th February 1922

Ananda Rao

President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore.

Comparative statement of Budget grant and expenditure on Municipal P W D works for three years

Years	Budget grant	Expenditure
1919--20	1,58,401	1,50 460
1920--21	2,04,164	1,99,498
1921--22	2,39,678	1,13,435 for 4 months

President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore

ement showing the establishment of the Municipal P W D

on	Scale of pay			Present salary
ta f				
	150 - 10 - 250	50		210
ers	70-10/2 - 90	20	10	120 110
Overseers 1st grade	65-10/3 - 75	15	10	85 85
Overseers 2nd grade	50-5/3 - 60	15	10	70 70
Overseers 3rd grade	30-5/5 - 40	15	10	65 55 55 55
tries	15 8			92
	13 8			84
staff				
untant	35-5/2 - 45	10		55
k	20- 1- 25	10		30
k	15-1-- 20	10		30
sman	25--1-- 30	10		36
e keeper	20--1-- 25	10	10	43
st	25 10			35
dar	10 5			15
	8 5			26
			Total per month	1426

Percentage of establishment) to the actual expenditure (9 02% of 1921--22)

President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore

34915

38

No 252 OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN CITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE

Dated Bangalore 23rd Feb 1922

Encls one file with Statement & resolutions

From

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA KIDHA BHUSHANA
K P PUTTANNA CHETTY CIE
Chairman City Improvement Committee
Bangalore

To

C Venkata Rao Esq , B A
Secretary to the Government of his Highness the Maharajah of Mysore, Revenue Department
B a n g a l o r e

SIR

The Bangalore City Improvement Committee

With reference to para 4 of Government order No I 5813-7/Ml 171-20 dated the 31st December 1921, I have the honor to submit herewith, in original letter No 1602 dated the 20th instant together with its enclosures from the President, City Municipal Council

2 The proposals of the Municipal Council seem to be suitable and adequate for carrying on the City Improvement works under the new arrangement

3 The only point I should like to urge is the necessity for retaining the services of the Architectural Draftsman The lump sum provision of Rs 300/- made by the Municipal Council to get plans prepared by a private agency, does not seem to meet the requirements of the case The work is of a special nature and requires a Draftsman trained in Architectural drawings The present incumbent, Mr. A Venkatarama Iyer has been on the staff for 6 years He has had special training under Mr D'Cruz and is fully conversant with the original plans and designs prepared by Mr Critchle the Architect He has assisted in the preparation of the revised plans and designs which have been finally accepted

Detailed working drawings have to be prepared for the Market, the circus, and the designs for buildings on the Hosakota Road I think an Architectural Draftsman is indispensably necessary With this addition the proposed establishment may be sanctioned

4 The City Improvement records both surveys drawings and correspondence have been sorted and maintained in an up to-date condition The surveys and plans are the result of the labours of several years and include the sewerage project for the City and the several City Improvement works and form a valuable record for future reference when the works are restarted on a large scale as I hope they will be at some future time It is necessary that they should be separately maintained without being mixed up with the other Municipal records, or being mislaid

5 I understand that the views of the Municipal President are already before the Government

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant

H H Littlewood

Chairman
City Improvement Committee,
Bangalore

IMMEDIATE

No 1602

2 copies of Resolution
1 Statement 22/2/22

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
Bangalore City dated 20th February 192a

Rec'd 22 2/2

From ANANDA RAO SIRSI Esq B A LL B
President Municipal Council
BANGALORE CITY

To

Dewan Bahadur Raja Sabha Bhushana
K P Puttanna Chetty C I E
Chairman City Improvement Committee
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to para 4 o G C No L 3813-7/M1 171-20 dated 31st December 19_1 calling for definite proposals for carrying on the work of the City Improvement Committee from 1st March 1922 I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the establishment to be retained temporarily for the City Improvement Committee works as resolved by the Municipal Council on the subject Copies of the resolutions passed at the meetings of the Council dated 13th and 18th instant are also forwarded

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Ananda Rao

President

HRS-21

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No _____
No

Enclosure No

Statement showing the temporary Establishment required for carrying on the City Improvement works as approved by the Municipal Council

of Post.	Salary Scale of pay	Actual charge	Name of the person	Remarks
VE STAFF				
ineer	300 plus 100,	100	Mr T Ramachandra Rao	he will be in-charge of both Municipal & improvement work Out of Rs 400/-, 300/- will be borne from Municipal funds & 100/- from the City Improvmen funds
vision cer	100 plus 30	130	" D Krishna Rao	The City improvement works including acquisitions o be distributed suitably
Overseer	55-5/3-77 plus 15	82 (67+15)	" M Venkoba Rao	
Do	Do	82 (67+15)	" G A Puttanna Gowda	
Do	Do	55 (40+15)	" George Murray	
STAFF				
tant	50-5-75	75	" Sampangi Ramayya	In addition to the account work he willdo Correspondance work
st Clerk	45	45	" T Ramaswami Chetty	
e & Record per	35	35		
EST				
	14	14		
	13	13		
	13	13		
	12	12		
	Total -	656		
encies				
ng house-rent		100		
	Total -	756 per month		

grant for drawing plans
chitectural nature in 300
of the a full-time Archi
l Draftaman

Approved by the Municipal Council at their Meetings held on 13th & 18th Feb 1922

PRESIDENT
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore

21-2-22

No.89.

PROCEEDINGS OF A SPECIAL GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON MONDAY THE 13th FEBRUARY 1922 AT 3 A.M. IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER

PRESENT.

1 Ananda Rao G Sirsi, Esq, B A., LL.B., (President)

2 B Abdur Rahmann, Esq, B.A., (Vice-President)

COUNCILLORS.

J. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq, B.A., B.L.,
Chinnaswami Chetty, Esq,
Muniyappa, Esq,
J Krishna Iyengar, Esq,
Ramappa, Esq,
J. Narayanaswami Chetty, Esq., B.A.,
Gopalaswami Iyer, Esq,
S Venkataramm Iyer, Esq, B A.,
9 G Nayavadana Rao, Esq, B A., B.L.,
10. H. Krishnappa, Esq., B.A.,
11. P Subbaramma Chetty, Esq,
12 Mohib H. Channaiya, Esq,
13 J R. Isaac, Esq, B.A., M.B.E.,
14. J E. Narasinga Rao, Esq,

ABSENT.

Trudachar, Esq,
Malla Mallayya, Esq,
Sidda Veerappa, Esq,
Deepa, Esq,
Subbayya, Esq, B A.,
J.M Abbas Khan, Esq.,
S.M V. Chandrasekhariah, Esq., B A., B L.
9 V. Panchavelu Mudaliar, Esq.,
10 Rev A Brockbank,
11. P. Murugesam Pillay, Esq,
Venkatachala Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L., 13. G. Venkataranga Iyengar, Esq.,

Vacant Two.

Subject:-Proposals for the establishment required for carrying on the City Improvement works from 1-3-1922 as called for in Government Order No.L.3813-7/M1.171-20-23 dated 31st December 1921

RESOLUTION -Mr S Venkataramm Iyer Proposed as follows.-

"That there be one Engineer with sufficient qualification and experience in charge of both Municipal and Improvement

improvement works and that he be allowed such temporary subordinate staff in addition, if necessary, to the existing Municipal Department Public Works staff to carry on the improvement works as well, as the Council may decide upon.

Mr.Hanumantappa seconded the proposition

This was carried, 9 voting for and 2 against it

Mr J B Isaac proposed that Mr T Ramachandra Rao be the Engineer referred to in the above resolution on his present salary i.e Rs 300/- plus Rs.100/- conveyance allowance.

This was seconded by Mr.R Gopalaswami Iyer and was carried unanimously

Adjourned to 8 A.M. on Saturday the 18th instant.

Sd/. Ananda Rao G Hirai.
President.

"COPY"

President.

No.81.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SPECIAL GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER ON SATURDAY THE 18th FEBRUARY 1922

PRESENT

1.C V.Narasimha Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
2.B Chinnaswami Chetty, Esq.,
3 H Hanumanthappa, Esq.,
4 Nyakala Mallayya, Esq.,
5 P U. Basappa, Esq.,
6.G Siddaveerappa, Esq.,
7 Subbayya, Esq., B.A.,
8 R Gopalaswami Iyer, Esq.,
9 C Nayanadana Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
10 M Krishnappa, Esq., B.A.,
11 P Subbarama Chetty, Esq.,
12 Rao Sahib M Channayia, Esq.,
13.J R Isaac, Esq., B.A., M.B.E.,
14.Rev A Brockbank,
15.M Abbas Khan, Esq.,
16 B Abdur Rahman, Esq., B.A.,
16 S.Venkataranga Iyengar, Esq.,

ABSENT

1 B.V Krishna Iyengar, Esq.,
2.P R Garudachar, Esq.,
3 G. Siddappa, Esquire,
4 P V.Ramaswami Chetty, Esq., B.A.,
5.Venkatachala Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
6 S Venkataramu Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
7 K.V Chandrasekhariah, Esq., B.A., LL.B.
8.V.Panichavelu Mudaliar, Esq.,
9 P.Murugesam Pillai, Esq.,
10 J M Narasinga Rao, Esq.,

Vacant two

Proposals for the establishment required for carrying on the City Improvement works from 1-3-1922 as called for in Government Order No.L 3813-7/21 171-20-23 dated 31st December 1921

Mr M Abbas Khan proposed that one Sub Divisional Officer on Rs.100 plus 30 and 3 sub-overseers on Rs.210/- i e not exceeding Rs.350/- be appointed for the executive staff. This was seconded by Rao Sahib M Channaiya.

Mr C.V Narasimha Iyengar proposed an amendment that one sub Divisional officer and two sub-overseers will suffice for the executive subordinate staff. This was seconded by Mr. Subbiah

This amendment was lost 8 voting for and 6 against it.

Mr.H.Krishnappa proposed another amendment that there be one Sub Divisional Officer of the grade of Sub Assistant engineer on Rs.120 plus 30 with two Overseers on Rs.100 plus 10 each, one for building works and another for roads and drains.

Mr Gopalaswami Iyer seconded

This was lost as 4 voted for and 12 against it.

The original proposition of Mr Ibne Hasan was then put to vote and was carried 16 voting for and none against it

Mr.U.Subbiah proposed that there shall be three clerks one of whom shall be a typist, one store-keeper and record keeper and another accountant on Rs.35,45 and 75 respectively i.e not exceeding Rs 155/- a month.

This was seconded by Mr.V Venkatarama Iyengar.

Mr Rayavadana Rao proposed an amendment as follows:-

That excluding the Architectural Draftsman which is to be considered separately the temporary staff proposed for the special works do consist of an Accountant and four clerks on a total salary not exceeding Rs.265/- per month.

This was seconded by Mr.Gopalaswami Iyer.

This was lost as 3 voted for and 13 against it.

Mr.Rayavadana Rao then moved the following amendment

That excluding the post of Architectural Draftsman which is to be considered separately the temporary staff proposed for the special works do consist of one Accountant on Rs 75/- one typist on Rs.40/- one store and record keeper on Rs.35/- and another clerk on Rs.30/- on a total salary not exceeding Rs.155/- per month.

This was seconded by Mr Subbarama Chetty.

This was lost as 3 voted for and 11 against it.

Then the proposition of Mr Subbiah was put to the vote and was carried 16 voting for and none against it.

46

Mr.B Krishnappa proposed that there be an architectural draftsman on Rs.100/- per month.

Mr Hayavadana Rao seconded this.

Mr.J R.Isaac moved an amendment that the above appointment be for 6 months This amendment was seconded by Mr.M Subbiah

This amendment was lost 2 voting for and 6 against it

Mr.C.V.Narasinha Iyengar proposed an amendment that the work of architectural draftsman be entrusted to one engaged on such work on remuneration not exceeding Rs 300/-

This was seconded by Mr Hanumanthappa.

This was carried 6 voting for and 5 against it

Mr.Abbas Khan proposed that 3 peons and a watchman be appointed at a cost of Rs.52/- per month and this was seconded by Mr. S.Venkataranga Iyengar.

This was carried 10 voting for and none against it.

Mr.Venkataranga Iyengar proposed that a sum up to Rs.100/- be provided for contingencies. This was seconded by Mr C.Hayavadana Rao and was carried 10 voting for and none against it

Sd/ Ananda Rao S Sirsi,
President.

"COPY"

Ananda Rao S Sirsi
President.

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No.	of 19
Serial No.		

47

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE, BANGALORE

Dated, 28th Feb 1922

M 161-21-3

To
The Immediate Asst
Chief Secy to Govt

L 5534

Dewan Bahadur Venkata Rao

Under Govt orders the City Improvement Committee abolished from tomorrow onwards. The question as to what is to be done with the establishment should be examined for the new Branch has not yet been decided and the work progresses very slowly. It will take some time before I can have anything definite to say. The matter may be allowed to continue unnecessary confusion of the kind

Note—Contn. sheet.

now working miles—defer[illegible]
are known—out numerable by[illegible]
defunte—arrangements are made
to be established—by which they
are replaced. In[illegible] circumstances
I—understable necessary that the
existing establishment should con
for[illegible] months—until the end of Sept
I had letter with the Secretaries
instructions on the subject—please
place letter before him—&
he orders to retain the existing
establishment until defunte arrange
are passed when I propose submit
to the Ch[illegible] proper bill to end
of April 22 As[illegible] matter is ur
I request the favor of
a very early reply

yours sincerely
Alexander Hamilton

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 191

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. L 5154

To

Date of issue 11 3 22

Signed by Mr. C. Venkata Rao.

Sir,

With reference to your D.O. dated 28th October 1922 recommending the retention of the early establishment of the Early Improvement Committee till the end of April next and directed to state that pending issue of final orders on the subject you may retain each of the staff



List of enclosures.

Copied by

Enumerated by

G R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 5457

General and Revenue Secretariat
(° ಕ)
the 191

Date of issue 30 3 22

Signed by Mr. D C-S.

Section ಕ
File No. 16 ಕ-24
Serial No. 3

No. ಸ-5665/Encl one

To

The President,
Bangalore City Town Municipal Council

Sir,

With ref. to your ಅಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ No. ಪ್ರ ಸ 20/21-22 dt. 21st Feb. 1921 submitting proposals regarding the establishment required for carrying on the work of the City Improvement in the future, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of

over

(Pencil)

Chief Engr's Note in the matter

I am directed to request you to be so good as to place the same before the Municipal Council suggesting the necessity of the establishment suggested by the Chief Engr

for the orders of Govt

P & C

J Crawford

Rec'd 28/3/22.
Rec'd

28/3/22.

Last of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

52

nr 248
Encl one

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

Bangalore City, dated 29th April 1922
1922

REVENUE 2 6757
4 APR 1922
BRANCH

From ANANDA RAO SIRSI Esq ,B A ,LL B
~~BOWRINGBADAMAR,ESQ~~
President, Municipal Council
BANGALORE CITY

nrl
161 21
4.

To

A V Ramanathan Esq B A
Secretary to the Government of
His Highnes the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department (in charge) Bangalore

Sir

With reference to your letter No L 5665/M1 161-21-3 dated 30th March 1922 calling for the views of the Municipal Council on the Chief Engineer's note regarding the establishment required for carrying on the work of City Improvement in future I have the honor to forward herewith for orders of Government a copy of the Proceedings of the City Municipal Council dated 24th April 1922 on the subject

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

President

HRS-1

192

From Ananda Rao S Surendra
President B.A. L.L.B
To City Municipal Council
The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Department

Dated 29 IV 22

Despatched 1 V 22

Received 2 - MAY 1922

General No. 24 8-22
No.

Enclosure No. one

Re:- The Establishment of the City Improvement Committee

Forwarding for orders of Government a Copy of the Proceedings of the Municipal Council dated 26th April 1922

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SPECIAL GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, MADRAS, HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, ON MONDAY THE 24th April 1922.

PRESENT.

Ananda Rao Sirol, Esq., B.A., B.L. (President)

B. Abdur Rahman, Esq., B.A. (Honorary Vice-President)

COUNCILLORS.

1. S.V. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.
2. D. Chinnaswami Chetty, Esq.
3. B. V. Krishna Iyengar, Esq.
4. M. Ramachandra Rao Sindia, Esq.
5. M. Subbayya, Esq., B.A.
6. K. Gopalaswami Iyer, Esq.
7. S. Venkataraman Iyer, Esq., B.A.
8. S. Ramaswamy Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.
9. M. Krishnappa, Esq., B.A.
10. K.V. Chandrasekhariya, Esq., B.A.,
11. M. Raghavendra Rao, Esq., (LL.B.,
12. Rao Sahib M. Chenniah, Esq.
13. P. Varadaraja Pillay, Esq.,
14. M. Abbas Khan, Esq.

15. S. Venkatarangu Iyengar, Esq.

ABSENT.

1. V. M. Ramanathappa, Esq.,
2. D. K. Tarudeenar, Esq.,
3. Vyomala Pallayya, Esq.,
4. P. M. Bawaji, Esq.,
5. G. Siddavoorappa, Esq.,
6. D. Adamji, Esq.,
7. D. V. Krishnaswami Chetty, Esq., B.A.,
8. S. Venkatachala Iyengar, Esq.,
9. P. Subbaraya Chetty, Esq.,
10. V. Ramakrishna Mudaliar, Esq.,
11. J. R. Jones, Esq., P.A., M.R.I.,
12. J. Narasinga Rao, Esq.

PAPER-ONE.

1 Revenue Secretary's letter No L 5665/21 161-21-3 dated 30th March 1922, forwarding copy of Chief Engineer's note regarding the establishment required for carrying on the work of City Improvement in future and calling for the views of the Municipal Council

Proposition from the Chair

"That the letter of the Chief Engineer be accepted in toto"

10 were of the view that no case has been made out to reconsider the resolution already passed

3 were for accepting the Chief Engineer's proposals in toto

1 did not vote (V President)

Poll was demanded by Messrs C Hayavadana Rao and Abbas Khan

Against (1) Mr S Venkataranga Iyengar (2)Mr M Raghavandra Rao (3) " M Ramachandra Rao (4)" H V Chandrasekharaiy (5) " C V Narasimha Iyengar (6)Mr B J Krishna Iyengar (7)Mr P Murugesam Pillay (8) Mr P Krishnappa (9) Mr S enkataramma Iyer (10)Mr M Subbiah

For (1) President (2) Mr C Hayavadana Rao (3)B Chinnaswami Chetty (4) Mr R Gopalaswami Iyer Esq (5) Rao Sahib Chennaiya (6) Mr M Abbas Khan

X X X

Sd/ Anarda Rao Sirsi
President

COPY

President,
City Municipal Council
Bangalore

HRS-1

Proceedings of the Government of H.H the Maharaja of Mysore 55

R e a d -

Government Order No L 3813-7/Mi 17-20-23 dated the 31st December 1921 directing that the City Improvement Committee Bangalore and also the Special Engineering Division formed thereunder be wound up by the end of February 1922 and that their work be transferred to - the Bangalore City Municipal Council - thereafter

2 Letter No C 20 dated the 20th February 1922 from the President, City Municipal Council Bangalore submitting the proposals of the said Council for - carrying on City Improvement works with his owr opinion thereon

3 Letter No 252 dated the 23rd - February 1922 from the Chairman of the City Improvement Committee Bangalore, submitting a copy of the President's letter referred to above with his own opinion thereon

4 Unofficial Note No 132 dated -- 15th March 1922 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore offering his views on the -- subject

---

Order--No L 818 — 20

Dated Bangalore the 27th July 1922

In para 4 of the Government Order read above the Chairman of the City - Improvement Committee Bangalore was - requested to submit before the end of January 1922 in consultation with the City Municipal Council and its Presi-

dent, definite proposals for carrying on the work of the City Improvement in the future

The City Municipal Council before which the matter was pleased for -- consideration was of opinion that the superior ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ Establishments of the -- Municipality and of the City Improvement Committee should be combined -- under the charge of one Engineer and -- that the subordinate and clerical Establishments of the Committee should be reduced with a view to decreasing the total establishments ~~and~~ charges of the Committee The details of the proposals put forward by the Municipal - Council were as follows.-

Part II

B

Sl No	Name of Post	Salary Scale of pay	Actual Charge
	Executive Staff		
1	Engineer	300 plus 100	100
2	Sub Division Officer	100 plus 30	130
3	Sub Overseer	35-5/3-77 plus 15	82 (67-15)
4	do	do	32
5	do	do	55 (40-15)
	Clerical Staff		
1	Accountant	50-5-75	75
2	Typist clerk	45	45
3	Store and Record Keeper	35	35
	Menial Establishment		
1	Peon	14	14
2	do	13	13
3	do	13	13
4	Watchman	12	12
		Total	356
	Contingencies including house rent		100
		Total	756 per mo

Lump sum grant for drawing plans etc. Architectural nature in place of a full time Architectural Draftsman 300

Par. DI

2 The Chairman of the City Improvement Committee, while agreeing that the above proposals of the Municipal Council are suitable and adequate for carrying on the City Improvement works, urges the necessity for retaining the services of the Architectural Draftsman instead of getting plans prepared by private agency and suggests the desirability of separately maintaining the City Improvement records comprising surveys drawings and correspondence for future reference without being mixed up with the other Municipal records.

Par DII

3 ~~But~~ The President of the Municipal Council ~~is not for adopting the proposals of that body, as he thinks~~ that by combining the Establishment of the Municipal Council and the Improvement Committee under the charge of one Engineer, both the ordinary and Special works would suffer to a material extent. He therefore suggests that a Special Establishment might be sanctioned for carrying out Special Improvement works which might be disbanded as soon as the special works were completed.

Eugene H Govt, Actg War Consulg

4 The Chief Engineer ~~whose opinion is in complete agreement~~ with the President of the Municipal Council in thinking that there should be two independent Engineering agencies for the Municipal and Improvement works ~~in order~~ that either may proceed efficiently and that the staff for Improvement

improvement works may be reduced or disbanded when the works are all - completed which would be at the most in two years and proposed a scale of Engineering establishment costing Rs 1225 5/6 a month This proposal -- having been referred for opinion to the Municipal Council they adhered to the proposals originally offerred by them

3. Government have carefully considered the proposals of the Municipal Council as set forth in paragraph 1 supra, ~~in the light of the opinions offered by the President of the said Council as well as by the Chief Engineer of Mysore~~ and direct~~have come to the conclusion~~ that there is no necessity to have two separate and independent agencies for the Municipal and Improvement works They are therefore pleased to approve of the proposal of the Municipal Council to have one Engineer on Rs 300 plus 100/- allowance for both Municipal and Improvement work subject to the condition - that he will be under the technical and -- professional supervision of a Public Works - Department officer of the grade of a Superintending Engineer, The Engineering Officer will for purposes of the Public Works code, exercise the functions of an Executive Engineer and the usual Public Works procedure in regard to the execution of all works relating to City Improvement will be followed so far as this officer is concerned The place of the Architectural - Draftsman should be abolished and the scale of clerical and ~~municipal~~ menial establishments as recommended by the Municipal Council is sanctioned for the President's office one additional

clerk ought to be sufficient to deal -- expeditiously with all matters arising out of the Improvement Works.

6. The Municipal Council is requested to consider the question of debiting the total cost of this establishment as now sanctioned to Municipal Revenues -- proper and the City Improvement in -- proportion to the value of the work -- executed under these two separate heads.

7. The special attention of the -- President is invited to the need for - keeping the records relating to Improvement Works separate as urged by the -- late Chairman of the City Improvement Committee and he is requested to take -- needful action in the matter.

Rev Secy to Government

To

The President, City Muni Cl.Bangalore.
" Comptroller to Govt.
" Chief Engineer of Mysore, with the request that the Superintending Engineer, Sanitary Circle may be directed to exercise the technical and professional supervision over the works of the Engineering -- officer in charge of the Bangalore City Improvements

T AK.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

G O No L 818 20—Ml 161 21 5 dated 27th July 1922

Establishment required for the Bangalore City Improvement

Sanctions the——

READ—

Government Order No L 3813 7—Ml 17 20 23 dated the 31st December 1921 directing that the City Improvement Committee Bangalore and also the Special Engineering Division formed thereunder be wound up by the end of February 1922 and that their work be transferred to the Bangalore City Municipal Council thereafter

2 Letter No C 20 dated the 20th February 1922 from the President City Municipal Council Bangalore submitting the proposals of the said Council for carrying on City Improvement Works with his own opinion thereon

3 Letter No 252 dated the 23rd February 1922 from the Chairman of the City Improvement Committee Bangalore submitting a copy of the President's letter referred to above with his own opinion thereon

4 Un official Note No 132 dated 15th March 1922 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore, offering his views on the subject

ORDER No L 818 20—ML 161 21 5 DATED 27TH July 1922

In para 4 of the Government Order read above the Chairman of the City Improvement Committee Bangalore was requested to submit before the end of January 1922 in consultation with the City Municipal Council and its President definite proposals for carrying on the work of the City Improvement in the future

The City Municipal Council before which the matter was placed for consideration was of opinion that the superior establishments of the Municipality and of the City Improvement Committee should be combined under the charge of one Engineer and that the subordinate and clerical establishments of the Committee should be reduced with a view to decreasing the total establishment charges of the Committee The details of the proposals put forward by the Municipal Council were as follows —

No	Name of post	Salary scale of pay	Actual charge	Remarks
	EXECUTIVE STAFF			
1	Engineer	300 + 100	100	He will be in charge of both Municipal and Improvement Works Out of Rs 400 300 will be borne from Municipal Funds and 100 from the City Improvement Funds
2	Sub-Division Officer	100 + 30	130	The City Improvement Works including acquisitions to be distributed suitably
3	Sub Overseer	30 5—77 + 10	(67+15) 82	
4	Do	Do	82	
5	Do	Do	(40+10) 05	
	CLERICAL STAFF			
1	Accountant	60 5 75*	75	*In addition to the account work he will do correspondence work
2	Typist Clerk	15	40	
3	Store and Record keeper	30	30	
	MENIAL ESTABLISHMENT			
1	Peon	14	14	
2	Do	13	13	
3	Do	13	13	
4	Watchman	12	12	
	Total		656	
	Contingencies including house rent		100	
			756 per month	
	Lump sum grant for drawing plans etc architectural nature in place of a full time Architectural Draftsman		300	

61

ORDDER No L 818 20—ML 161 21 5 DATED 27TH JULY 1922

2 The Chairman of the City Improvement Committee while agreeing that the above proposals of the Municipal Council are suitable and adequate for carrying on the City Improvement Works urges the necessity for retaining the services of the Architectural Draftsman instead of getting plans prepared by private agency and suggests the desirability of separately maintaining the City Improvement records comprising surveys drawings and correspondence for future reference without being mixed up with the other Municipal records The President of the Mun cipal Council thinks that by combining the establishment of the Municipal Council and the Improvement Committee under the charge of one Engineer both the ordinary and special works would uffer to a material extent The Chief Engineer who wa consulted by the Government is i i agreement with the President of the Municipal Council in thinking that there hould be two independent Engineering agencies for the Municipal and Improvement Works

3 Government have carefully considered the proposals of the Municipal Council as set forth in paragraph 1 *supra* and direct that there is no n cessity to have two separate and independent agencies for the Municipal and Improvement Works They are therefore pleased to approve of the proposal of the Municipal Council to have one Engineer on Rs 300 plus 100 allowance for both Municipal and Improvement Work subject to the condition that he will be under the technical and profes ional supervision of a Public Works Department Officer of the grade of a Superintending Engineer The Engineering Officer will for purposes of the Public Works Code exercise the functions of an Executive Engineer and the usual Public Works procedure in regard to the execution of all works relating to City Improvement will be followed so far as this Officer is concerned The place of the Architectural Draftsman should be abolished and the scale of clerical and menial establishments as recommended by the Municipal Council is sanctioned For the President s Office one additional clerk ought to be sufficient to deal expeditiously with all matters arising out of the Improvement Works

4 The Municipal Council is requested to consider the question of debiting the total cost of this establishment as now sanctioned to Municipal Revenues proper and the City Improvement in proportion to the value of the work executed under these two separate heads

5 The special attention of the President is invited to the need for keeping the records relating to Improvement Works separate as urged by the late Chairman of the City Improvement Committee and he is requested to take needful action in the matter

A V RAMANATHAN
Secy to Govt Rev Dept (in charge)

To—The President City Mumcipal Council Bangalore
The Comptroller Mysore Government
The Chief Engineer of Mysore with the request that the Superintending Engineer, Sanitary Circle, may be directed to exercise the technical and professional supervision over the works of the Engineering Officer in charge of the Bangalore City Improvements

Exd —C R

W D 201—GPB—16 8-22 -475